# PIP DATA FOR MARKET ACCESS

By

Mark Nuijten, PhD, MD, MBA

Maart 13, 2012 London, UK

# GENERAL TRENDS IN HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS IN EUROPE

# **Health Care Systems**



Inceasing demand for health care

# **Budget Constraints**



# **Health Care Systems**



# **Trends in Health Care Systems**

### **Free market development**

- Central processes, but a shift to decentralisation:
  - > hospitals
  - regional authorities
- Creating market mechanisms in order to increase efficiency:
  - > purchasers versus providers: UK, Italy
  - > Hospital budgets from "per diem" to cost per case"

# PRICING AND REIMBURSEMENT OF DRUGS

# **Trends for Pharmaceuticals**



# **Trends for Pharmaceuticals**



# **DATA REQUIREMENTS**

## **Data Requirements**

# **Decision Criteria**

- Clinical decison criteria are:
  - > Efficacy
  - Side effects
  - > Route of administration/ease of use
  - Contra-indications/warnings
  - Mechanism of action/drug interactions

### • Non-clinical decison criteria are:

- > Drug price and impact on drug budget
- > Other (less impact): cost-effectiveness, QoL
- Clinical Effectiveness most important: NICE

# **Health Economic Data**

### **Cost-effectiveness ratios**

- No explicit threshold in any country
- Proposed levels (per QALY) \$20,000 Canadian
  - \$50,000 US
  - £10,000 UK
  - E 20,000

• Observed values - £20,000 - £30,000 UK

- \$22,000 Australian

# **Health Economic Data**

# **Stringency of health economic requirements**

	Health Economics		
GERMANY	XX		
FRANCE	XX		
UK	XXX		
ITALY	XX		
SWEDEN	XXX		
NETHERLANDS	XXX		
SPAIN	XX		
PORTUGAL	XXX		
FINLAND	XXX		
DENMARK	XX		
HUNGARY	XX		
POLAND	Х		

Low level of requirements	Х
Medium level of requirements	XX
High level of requirements	XXX



# **Decentralisation**

	patient	physician	pharmacist	management	insurer
Efficacy	2	3	3	2	2
Safety	2	3	3	2	2
Administration	2	2	3	2	2
Effectiveness	3	2	1	1	2
Quality of Life	3	2	1	1	2
Cost-effectiveness	1	1	1	1	2
Budgetary impact: drug costs	1	1-3	3	3	3
Budgetary impact: medical costs	1	1	2	3	3
Quality of care	3	2	2	2	3
Co-payment	3	1	1	1	2
Discounting	0	1	3	3	3
Price	1	1	3	3	3
Indirect costs	3	1	1	1	2

# **Decentralisation - insurers**

# **Decision criteria**

• Perspective: short-term (1 to 3 year): most drugs in chronic disease will only show cost-effectiveness after 5 years.

Cost-benefit > cost-effectiveness > cost-utility

 Cost per month without symptoms and toxicity instead of cost/ QALY:

- insurers not familiar with QALY and cost/QALY concept
- terminology: cost-effective = cost saving
- cost-effectiveness: conceptual closer to medical community
- BUT: opportunities for Quality of Life:
  - closer to patient
  - competing claim towards other insurers

# Strategy



... to accelerate business performance across the entire product life cycle, while reducing fixed costs.





#### **Types of data:**

- **Probabilities**
- Treatment decisions
- Health care resource utilisation (e.g. consultations)

#### **Data sources:**

- RCT (Randomized Controlled Trial): cause-effect
- Observational studies, registers
- Cross-sectional studies, inc. QoL studies
- Claims databases
- **Retrospective patient chart analyses**
- Delphi panels





### **PIP:** Nationline panel database (Internet access panel)

• Pre-screened respondents who have expressed a willingness to participate in surveys and/or customer feedback sessions.

- Respondents become "panelists" by completing a profiling questionnaire.
- •The data collected in the profiling includes demographics and also health status characteristics.

•A patient specific online panel gives researchers access to patients worldwide is the Patient Intelligence Panel (PIP).

•Having globally on-line access to thousands of people who are willing to participate in research on healthcare and specific indications, all questions can be asked and a wide range of feedback can be obtained.

**PIP:** Nationline panel database (Internet access panel)

•The PIP dataset is not limited by power constraints as static databases, and especially clinical databases, which usually have a limited number of patients.

• The sample size of PIP data set can be adjusted based on a priori sample size calculations in order to show statistical significant results.

• PIP data set is the flexible sample size allows the inclusion of a representative patient population.

### **PIP:** Nationline panel database (Internet access panel)

- Patient data: medication, co-morbidity, socio-demographic
- Clinical data: response, side effects, treatment failure
- Quality of Life and PRO (e-surveys)
- Economic data: resource utilisation, productivity loss

#### THUS

 all data can be defined on beforehand – complete full data set for model

 high external validity – fully representative of daily care – and therefore relevant for decision makers.

### **PIP:** Nationline panel database (Internet access panel)

- Patient data: medication, co-morbidity, socio-demographic
- Clinical data: response, side effects, treatment failure
- Quality of Life and PRO (e-surveys)
- Economic data: resource utilisation, productivity loss

#### THUS

 all data can be defined on beforehand – complete full data set for model

 high external validity – fully representative of daily care – and therefore relevant for decision makers.

#### **PIP:** Nationline panel database (Internet access panel)

• Measure the impact of a particular disease or condition on clinical and patient-specific outcomes.

• Document the outcomes associated with different treatments or settings of care in a quantitative matter.

• Patients can be followed prospectively and data are collected on disease severity and clinical outcomes, as well as resource use, functional status and quality of life as reported by the patient.

• PIP data reflect the current treatment patterns without influencing the treatments or interventions and consequently the PIP study is fully naturalistic without any intervention with real practice (e.g. no randomisation) and has a high external validity.

# CONCLUSION

# Conclusion

### **PIP: the Patient's Voice**

• Integrating the patients' voice in the models, a more holistic outcome will be the result corresponding with the concept of cost-effectiveness requiring a high external validity and outcomes representing real life.

• The patients' voice can be considered the optimal data source for a health economic model as it has the highest representativeness of the effectiveness of a treatment in real-life.

• Specifically for perception sensitive factors in health economic models, like quality of life (QALYs), adherence, side-effect severity and discontinuation rational, the patients' voice should be integrated as the patient is sole source for outcomes related to the patients' experience with pharmaceutical therapy.

# Conclusion





PIP DATA BRIDGING PATIENT'S VOICE WITH POLICY MAKING

**PIP DATA** 

# Conclusion



# PIP DATA FOR MARKET ACCESS

By

Mark Nuijten, PhD, MD, MBA

Maart 13, 2012 London, UK